



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1650
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,225	11/17/2003	Arun Kwangil Iyengar	YOR920030488US1 (163-16)	5015
24336	7590	06/20/2007	EXAMINER	
KEUSEY, TUTUNJIAN & BITETTO, P.C. 20 CROSSWAYS PARK NORTH SUITE 210 WOODBURY, NY 11797			TSAI, SHENG JEN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2186		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/20/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,225	IYENGAR ET AL.
	Examiner Sheng-Jen Tsai	Art Unit 2186

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheng-Jen Tsai. (3) _____.

(2) James Bitetto (Reg. No. 40,513). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Iyengar et al. (US 2003/0172236).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Sheng-Jen Tsai
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicanta propose to add the limitation of "selectively targeting the storage elements that include the copy of the object to be updated by" to claim 1. The Examiner points out that the same limitation has been presented in Claim 18, which is rejected under 102 as being anticipated by the reference, thus adding the same limitation to claim 1 is not likely to help overcoming the reference. The Examiner also explains why the reference teaches the recited limitations of claim 1. The Examiner further suggests that the differences between the application and the reference be clearly recited in the claims in order to overcome the reference..